Whitepaper



This Whitepaper Gives Information About:

- → How to achieve excellent thermal management with restricted space
- What becomes possible by applying additive manufacturing to CPU cooling
- → Why additive manufacturing is key for the further miniaturization of electronic devices

How new design freedom paves the way for radical innovations

A Disruptive Innovation of Thermal Management

By Dr. Matthias Hoeh Senior Business Development Manager EOS GmbH

Reinventing a High-Performance CPU Cooler with Additive Manufacturing

High heat loads limit the miniaturization of portable computers, power electronic devices and high-power LED lighting. Most ambitious technological solutions from the lab are not ready for mass production and deployment in consumer products. But industrial 3D printing, or so-called additive manufacturing, can bridge the gap for thermal management components and keep lossy electronics cool even when the available space is severely limited. The freedom of design provided by 3D printed thermal management components offers the same or

superior effectiveness as conventionally manufactured components, but requires much less space. Enlarged surfaces, any-shape geometries and conformal cooling channels are among the opportunities of this manufacturing technology.

The efficiency gains that can be achieved were demonstrated with a gaming CPU cooler design for additive manufacturing. To maintain the same chip temperature, the new part requires 81% less space and 93% less weight than the best-in-class conventional cooler.



AM METALS



Content

The Future of Thermal Management for Electronics		
The Challenges of Miniaturization: Paper-Thin Laptops	4	
Small and Light Weight Heat Exchangers Come at High Cost	6	
Additive Manufacturing Is Ideal for Miniaturized Heat Exchangers	7	
Innovating a High-Performance Gaming CPU Cooler	8	
Comparison with Best-In-Class CPU Cooler	9	
CPU Cooler Size Decreased by 81 % with 3D Printing	11	
New Design Freedom Paves the Way for Radical Innovations	13	
Sources	14	

List of Figures

Figure 1	Historical development of the laptop			
Figure 2a	Simple air cooler made by extrusion			
Figure 2b	Complex water cooler assembled from sub-components			
Figure 3	Delicate and complex components	7		
Figure 4a	Cross section of benchmark CPU cooler	8		
Figure 4b	Cross section of 3D printed CPU cooler	8		
Figure 5a	Benchmark CPU cooler with coolant flow simulation in central plane	9		
Figure 5b	3D printed CPU cooler with coolant flow simulation in central plane	9		
Figure 6a	Benchmark CPU cooler with coolant flow velocities in the horizontal plane directly above the CPU	10		
Figure 6b	3D printed CPU cooler with coolant flow velocities in horizontal plane directly above the CPU	10		
Figure 7a	Benchmark CPU cooler with temperatures at CPU surface across the base plates	10		
Figure 7b	3D Printed CPU cooler with temperatures at CPU surface across the base plates	10		
Figure 8	Optimized 3D printed CPU cooler	10		
Figure 9	Biomimetic heat exchanger design inspired by sea anemones	13		

List of Tables

Table 1	Comparison of the technical	performance between the benchmark	CPU cooler and the 3D printed CPU cooler

The Future of Thermal Management for **Electronics**

Electronic components are developing in two basic directions: Performance is increasing and size is decreasing.

Everything revolves around one central problem: heat^[1]. This is true at microscopic scales, e.g. where noise on a quantum level limits how small the structures of processing units can become^[2,3]. But it also manifests at macroscopic scales, where the amount of heat that can be transported away from high-performing components limits the performance per volume.

Everything revolves around one central problem: heat!

purely binary computers^[7].

As a fundamental consequence of non-ideal systems, losses and hence heat cannot be avoided. But they could be reduced in the future. Innovative technologies that perform tasks much more efficiently than before can circumvent the excess heat limitations. For example, high hopes have been placed in the transition from electronics to photonics. Even now, photons rather than electrons are the information carriers used by the backbones of modern communication^[5]. In the future, photonics might also advance into microprocessors and improve the performance per volume of computers^[6]. New algorithms for quantum simulators and one day possibly even quantum computers can solve certain classes of problems more efficiently than classical,

But these approaches are all decades away from being deployed to consumer products. The question at hand is how to radically improve thermal solutions for existing electronic devices and push the boundaries of miniaturization. A solution would serve a huge market demand.

 \rightarrow Laptops and mobile phones are becoming thinner and more powerful.

 \rightarrow Communication infrastructure is a data bottleneck and needs to support ever higher transfer rates, while at the same time a landscape of bulky transmission towers must be avoided.

 \rightarrow Electric cars are full of high power electronics that need to be integrated into a limited space.

In each of these markets, new thermal solutions need to be developed. Significant technical progress is required within the next few years.

The Challenges of Miniaturization: **Paper-Thin Laptops**

To illustrate the miniaturization trend. the historical development of portable computers is a good example. Their steady increase in performance and decrease in size has been particularly challenging. Portable computers have come a long way since the 1980s. The miniaturization of sub-systems and components was a major aspect of their hardware development.

The CPU clock frequency is a readily available measure of performance, and there is plenty of historical data for computers. The clock frequency has been stagnating since about 2004; instead, the number of cores has been growing exponentially^[8]. The stagnation of the clock frequency has several reasons, the most prominent being excess heat creation. Higher frequencies require higher voltages, but the excess heat associated with them cannot be fully compensated by cooling technology improvements. In a nutshell, the limitations in heat transfer restrict the maximum clock frequency and hence the singlethread performance of the processor*.

We analyzed the situation for laptops as an example of powerful computers with limited available space. To measure the technological advancement of miniaturization, we normalized the CPU clock frequency with respect to weight. The resulting historical analysis shows that miniaturization of laptops is slowing down. The data points in figure 1 are randomly picked laptop types with different display sizes from various manufacturers^[10]. The chart shows how the exponential CPU clock frequency growth ended in around 2004.



Laptops are just one example, but they convincingly illustrate the importance of efficient cooling in small spaces and demonstrate how additively manufactured thermal management components can revitalize the miniaturization of electronic devices.

Year of product release

Small and Light Weight Heat **Exchangers Come at** High Cost

For the study, we focused on CPU coolers directly mounted on the chip via a heat spreader.

If we look at the solutions available today, we notice two main types of CPU coolers. One is optimized for manufacturability, the other for performance. The most economical way to mass manufacture chip coolers is by extruding aluminum, but the performance of products manufactured by this technique is limited due to its design restraints (figure 2a). For optimum cooling performance, complex assembly parts are required. These are composed of sub-components, for example milled components (figure 2b).

In a housing with extremely limited space for the heat exchanger, neither of the two commonly used types is a good choice. Further miniaturization of the best-performing coolers would be costly, because it involves further production steps and longer machining times. This represents a restraint on the further miniaturization of computers and other electronic devices.

The goal of this study was to break the miniaturization limit and innovate a CPU cooler that matches the best-in-class products while requiring much less space and material. By designing for additive manufacturing from the start, the typical restraints of conventional production methods were overcome.

Additive Manufacturing Is Ideal for Miniaturized Heat Exchangers

The economics of complex parts made with additive manufacturing by laser sintering are exactly the opposite of the economics of subtractive manufacturing (i.e. most conventional manufacturing technologies). Roughly speaking, the less material the laser has to melt, the less machining time is required. Delicate and complex parts are therefore faster and cheaper to produce than massive and simple parts (figure 3).







Figure 3: Delicate and complex components are where additive manufacturing shines, whereas massive parts with simple geometries are better suited for traditional subtractive manufacturing methods.





nents made by different conventional manufacturing techniques Retail price 62 USD [9]



Additive manufacturing thus opens a door to a new world of thermal management solutions. Old limitations are obsolete and new ideas can flourish. For thermal solutions, the rewards include increased surface areas, complex shaped internal channels for optimized coolant flow and functional integration. Miniaturization and increased heat transfer performance are the

Innovating a High-**Performance Gaming CPU Cooler**

The process of reinventing a CPU cooler was headed by AM Metals, a company specializing in application development for additive manufacturing. The starting point was the best-in-class CPU cooler currently on the market for gaming computers. It meets extreme heat transfer requirements to enable the common practice of overclocking.

As part of the project, new ideas for innovative cooling flow geometries were generated and the heat transfer path was optimized. As a final design result, the area directly above the bottom plate was equipped with an arrangement of specially designed pins. The pin arrangement was adapted to the specific thermal footprint of the CPU.

The goal was to reduce its size and weight while maintaining best-in-class cooling performance.



Comparison with Best-In-Class CPU Cooler

To predict and compare the performance of the 3D printed CPU cooler to the benchmark CPU cooler, a highly accurate thermal simulation was performed using CFD by TheSys, a company specializing in the development of new heat exchangers, thermal simulation of performance and validation testing of heat exchangers and thermal systems. For several years, they have focused in particular on the development of additively manufactured heat exchangers.

The thermal performance simulation considered constant boundary conditions of 1 l/min flow rate and 60°C coolant inlet temperature.* The heat generation of 120 W by the CPU was modelled as a constant heat flow density in the contact area.

The benchmark cooler was simulated with the assumption of a pure copper body. In reality, only some parts of the cooler were made of pure copper; other materials were used as well. Considering that copper has one of the highest thermal conductivities the performance prediction of the benchmark cooler is optimistic.

The first design of the 3D printed cooler was realized within 8 working days. After evaluating the simulation results, TheSys implemented two key improvements into the design. The pin geometries above the base plate were optimized and the wall geometry was adapted. Both measures adjusted the heat distribution and ultimately achieved the targeted low surface temperature at the contact area of the CPU, while also reducing the pressure drop. After just one design iteration, the surface temperature distribution, cooling performance and pressure drop matched those of the benchmark cooler closely.

* The temperature of 60°C was chosen because it is typical for the new practice of using the waste heat from data centers for other practical purposes. It is reasonable to assume the comparison results are similar when working with lower coolant inlet temperatures that are typical for stand-alone gaming computers.

For the benchmark cooler, there is an impact flow with a stagnation point at the CPU center line area. Outside of the CPU footprint, the flow velocities are constant, inducing a pressure drop in areas without heat input.

For the 3D printed cooler, the local coolant flow velocities are adapted to the local heat flow density. As a result, there is an optimized impact flow with a stagnation point at the CPU center line area and higher velocities beneath, balancing the high heat flow density in this particular area. The velocities are reduced to less than 50% outside of the CPU contact area. A small vortex still forms in the outlet, meaning that there remains potential for some further design optimizations.



Figure 5a

© AM Metals/TheSys/EOS



Figure 5b

© AM Metals/TheSys/EOS

Coolant flow at CPU cooler central plane

Figure 5a: Simulation of velocities for benchmark CPU cooler in central plane Figure 5b: Simulation of velocities for 3D printed CPU cooler in central plane



Temperature (C) 63 165 63.766 64.366 64.967 62.565

Figure 7a

Coolant flow at CPU cooler horizontal plane

Figure 6a: Simulation of velocities for benchmark CPU cooler in horizontal plane directly above the CPU Figure 6b: Simulation of velocities for 3D printed CPU cooler in horizontal plane directly above the CPU

Temperatures at CPU surface across the base plate

Figure 7a: Simulation of surface temperatures for benchmark CPU cooler in horizontal plane directly above the CPU; CPU footprint marked as a rectangle Figure 7b: Simulation of surface temperatures for 3D printed CPU cooler in horizontal plane directly above the CPU; CPU footprint is approximately the size of the whole base plate



Figure 8: Innovated gaming CPU cooler optimized for 3D printing, manufactured on a standard EOS M 290 machine in pure copper from Elementum 3D

> same cooling performance can be easily on less space customized to individual chip thermal footprint with less weight



can be adapted to any shape cavities the absence of weld seams reduces the risk of leakage

Results for both designs

	Benchmark CPU cooler	3D printed CPU cooler (copper)	3D printed CPU cooler (aluminum)
Medium temperature at middle of base plate (°C)	62,0	62,3	62,7
Pressure drop (mbar)	4,5	4,75	4,75
Volume (cm ³)*	58	10,8	10,8
Weight (g)**	450	107	32
Design adaptability	low	high	high
Material	copper, steel, nickel-plated brass, POM	copper	aluminum
Pictures			
Volume	100%	-81%	-81 %
Weight	100%	-76%	-93 %

Table 1: Comparison of the technical performance between the benchmark CPU cooler and the 3D printed CPU cooler built in copper and aluminium, respectively.

*The volume without legs to mount the cooler on the chip has been compared. **Weight including legs.

The innovations presented above can be immediately translated into commercial products. Given a known, inhomogeneous heat flow density in the CPU contact area, 3D printed designs can be specifically tailored to the heat distribution. This is impossible in practice for conventionally designed coolers because of the ramifications for the production chain, but tailoring to specific CPUs or other chips is easy to accomplish with additive manufacturing. The changes in the production chain are purely digital. Customized parts can be released into production without human interaction or change-over costs.

New Design Freedom Paves the Way for **Radical Innovations**

In just a few days development time, the cooling performance of the best-in-class conventional CPU cooler was equaled with a 3D printed cooler that only requires a fraction of the space. The key was to combine the expertise of TheSys in thermal solutions with the additive manufacturing experience of AM Metals and EOS. Eliminating the inhibiting effects of conventional manufacturing opens a door to further miniaturization and radical new concepts like biomimetics.

Inspired by sea anemones

Figure 9: Biomimetic heat exchanger design inspired by sea anemones

Left: Conventional design passive heat exchanger

Middle: Anemone structures are optimized for large surface areas at low water flows. © Mat Reding / Unsplash Right: Future passive heat exchangers might look more a sea anemone than a comb thanks to the freedom of design offered by additive manufacturing. © EOS, Design by Moritz Heller

12 | **13**

Once the creative power of thermal engineers has been unleashed by overcoming the dogma of conventional manufacturing, radical innovations will be just moments away. For example, we are surrounded by designs optimized over millions of years of evolution that can be translated into ingenious technical designs for thermal management. Thanks to 3D printing, we are now capable of manufacturing these geometries. Figure 9 shows a sea anemone that evolved to maximize its surface area to filter out nutrients from passing water. Perhaps this structure would be ideal for a low-flow heat exchanger?

In fact, there are countless applications where heat transfer space comes at a premium. Just consider gaming laptops, HP LEDs, lasers, autonomous driving, power electronics [4], chemical micro reactors. We believe that additive manufacturing can bridge the gap between current market demands for miniaturized thermal management solutions and future technologies that might circumvent the problem in a fundamentally new way.



Sources

- Schelling, P.K., Shi, L., Goodson, K.E., 2005. Managing heat for electronics. Materials Today. doi:10.1016/ S1369-7021(05)70935-4
- [2] Cho, J., Goodson, K.E., 2015. Thermal transport: Cool electronics. Nature Materials 14, 136–137. doi:10.1038/ nmat4194
- [3] Tsutsui, M., Taniguchi, M., 2012. Single molecule electronics and devices. Sensors (Switzerland). doi:10.3390/s120607259
- Kang, S.S., 2011. Advanced Cooling for Power
 Electronics, in: 2012 7th International Conference on
 Integrated Power Electronics Systems (CIPS). pp. 8 pp.-8
 pp. doi:10.1074/jbc.M509557200
- [5] Yariv, A., 2007. Optical Electronics in Modern
 Communications, 6th ed, New York: Oxford University
 Press. Oxford University Press, Inc, New York.
 doi:0195106261
- [6] Sun, C., Wade, M.T., ... Stojanovi, V.M., 2015. Single-chip microprocessor that communicates directly using light. Nature 528, 534–538. doi:10.1038/nature16454
- [7] Ekert, A., Jozsa, R., 1996. Quantum computation and Shor's factoring algorithm. Reviews of Modern Physics 68, 733–753. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.68.733
- [8] Karl Rupp, 42 Years of Microprocessor Trend Data, 15.
 Feb. 2018 (https://www.karlrupp.net/2018/02/42-yearsof-microprocessor-trend-data/)
- [9] Retail prices taken from ALTERNATE GmbH, Germany (as of 2019 April 25th), EAN 4251312600075and EAN 0872767007727

- [10]
- 1980: Portal R2E CCMC, portable microcomputer with Intel 8085 processor, 8-bit, clocked at 2 MHz. Technical data from musée de l'informatique (France) blog.
- 1981: Osborne 1. Technical data from PCWorld, dating 31.05.2010, A History of Portable Computing, by Lincoln Spector.
- 1982: Athena I. Technical data from Russian Vintage Laptop Museum. http://vintage-laptops.com
- 1983: Gavilan SC Computer. Technical data from Russian Vintage Laptop Museum.
- 1984: Data General One. Technical data from Russian Vintage Laptop Museum.
- 1985: Toshiba T1100. Technical data from Toshiba T1100 Product Information Sheet and Toshiba Science Museum (for the weight and year of release).
- 1986: Toshiba T3100. Technical data from Toshiba T3100 Product Information Sheet and Toshiba Science Museum (for the year of release).
- 1987: Amstrad PPC 640. Technical data from Russian Vintage Laptop Museum.
- 1988: GRiD Case 1520. Technical data from Russian Vintage Laptop Museum.
- 1989: Apple Macintosh Portable Model M5120. Technical data from Russian Vintage Laptop Museum.
- 1990: Dell 316LT. Technical data from Russian Vintage Laptop Museum.
- 1991: Goldstar GS520. Technical data from Russian Vintage Laptop Museum.
- 1992: GRiD model 1660. Technical data from Russian Vintage Laptop Museum.
- 1993: Apple Powerbook 145B. Technical data from Russian Vintage Laptop Museum.
- 1994: Apple PowerBook 520. Technical data from Glen Sanford, website apple-history.com/520.
- 1995: Apple PowerBook 190. Technical data from Glen Sanford, website apple-history.com/190.
- 1996: Toshiba INFINIA 7200. Technical data from Toshiba INFINIA 7200 Product Specifications Sheet.
- 1997: Compaq Armada 7730MT. Technical data from Russian Vintage Laptop Museum.

- 1998: Apple Macintosh Powerbook G3 (Wallstreet Series II 2014 "PDQ") . Technical data from Russian Vintage Laptop Museum.
- 1999:
 RAYTHEON CHAMELEON. Technical data from
 20'

 Russian Vintage Laptop Museum.
 20'
- 2000: Fujitsu-Siemens Lifebook B-2131. Technical data from CHIP magazine review article on this product, 2016: dating 27.06.2000.
- 2001: Apple iBook. Technical data from CHIP magazine 201 review article on this product, dating 28.05.2001.
- 2002: Sony Vaio PCG-SRX41P. Technical data from CHIP magazine review article on this product, dating 01.08.2002.
- 2003: Toshiba Satellite 2430-201 (15"). Technical data from CHIP magazine review article on this product, 201 dating 28.04.2003.
- 2004: Targa Visionary 811. Technical data from CHIP magazine review article on this product, dating 04.06.2004.
- 2005: Fujitsu Siemens Amilo A7645. Technical data from CHIP magazine review article on this product, dating 01.08.2005.
- 2006: HP nx9420 (17" display). Technical data from CHIP magazine review article on this product, dating 01.08.2006.
- 2007: Acer Aspire 5685WLMi. Technical data from CHIP magazine review article on this product, dating 26.06.2007.
- 2008: Sony Vaio VGN-FW21ER. Technical data from Russian Vintage Laptop Museum.
- 2009: Apple MacBook Pro (2009). Technical data from Glen Sanford, website apple-history.com/mbp_15_ mid_09.
- 2010: Acer Aspire 7740G-334G50Mn (17"). Technical data from CHIP magazine review article on this product, dating 29.06.2010.
- 2011: Apple MacBook Pro (2011). Technical data from Glen Sanford, website apple-history.com/mbp_15_ late_11.
- 2012: Samsung Serie 9 900X4C (15"). Technical data from CHIP magazine review article on this product, dating 29.06.2012.
- 2013: Toshiba Satellite U840W-10F (14"). Technical data from CHIP magazine review article on this product, dating 01.07.2013.

- 2014: Lenovo G505s (20255) (15+"). Technical data from CHIP magazine review article on this product, dating 30.07.2014.
- 2015: Dell Inspiron 15 (7548). Technical data from laptopmedia.com review article on this product, dating 27.02.2015.
 - ASUS X555. Technical data from laptopmedia.com review article on this product, dating 27.05.2016.
- 2017: Dell Inspiron 17 5767. Technical data from laptopmedia.com review article on this product, dating 17.06.2017.
- 2018: Dell Inspiron 15 3567. Technical data from laptopmedia.com review article on this product, dating 15.03.2018.
- 2019: Lenovo IdeaPad 330s. Technical data from laptopmedia.com review article on this product, dating 08.01.2019.



Dr. Matthias Hoeh Senior Business Development Manager

Matthias is scouting applications and developing business models for industrial 3D printing. One of his focus topics is thermal management innovations. He is responsible for developing holistic solutions to realize the business ideas of EOS' customers.

In his early career Matthias was trained as a physicist and spent four years as a researcher at Heidelberg University, Germany. He then joined Konica Minolta as a product manager, responsible for in-line test systems in electronics manufacturing. Since more than 15 years he is also active as an entrepreneur in various fields. In 2016 Matthias entered the world of industrial 3D printing and joined EOS.

Contact:

matthias.hoeh@eos.info

Dr. Peter Ambros TheSys GmbH www.thesys-engineering.de

Contact: p.ambros@thesys-engineering.de

Dr. Florian Wendt AM Metals GmbH www.am-metals.de

Contact: florian.wendt@am-metals.de



PADT, Inc 7755 S Research Dr Suite 110 Tempe, AZ 85284

info@padtinc.com

www.padtinc.com

If you have any remarks or comments, please get in touch.

Version of 09/2019. EOS is certified in accordance with to ISO 9 001. EOS®, EOSTATE and Additive Minds® are registered trademarks of EOS GmbH in some countries. For more information visit www.eos.info/trademarks